Polyhedron 28 (2009) 3291-3297

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Polyhedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/poly

Mononuclear gallium(III) complexes based on salicylaldoximes: Synthesis, structure and spectroscopic characterization

Christiana Birnara^a, Vadim G. Kessler^b, Giannis S. Papaefstathiou^{a,*}

^a Laboratory of Inorganic Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis, Zografou 157 71, Greece ^b Department of Chemistry, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, P.O. Box 7015, 750 07 Uppsala, Sweden

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Available online 7 May 2009

Dedicated to Dr. Aris Terzis on the occasion of his retirement and for advancing inorganic chemistry in Greece through X-ray crystallography.

Keywords: Crystal structure Gallium(III) complexes Mononuclear Ga(III) complexes Oximato complexes Six-coordinated Ga(III) complexes

1. Introduction

Over the last decade the coordination chemistry of salicylaldoxime (saoH₂) and its derivatives (R-saoH₂) (Fig. 1) has attracted a tremendous interest due to the ability of the dianions of those ligands to produce high nuclearity metal complexes especially with trivalent metal ions [1-23]. Besides the intriguing structures of the salicylaldoximato metal clusters, the most remarkable results originate from the magnetic properties of those complexes. It has been discovered that salicyladoximes may be utilized to produce families of hexanuclear and trinuclear Mn^{III} single-molecules magnets (SMMs) of general formulae $[Mn_6^{III}O_2(R-sao)_6(O_2CR)_2(L)_{4-6}]$ and $[Mn_3^{III}O(R-sao)_3(O_2CR)(L)_3]$ (R = H, Me, Et, etc.; L = solvent) [11–14]. It has also been demonstrated that in each case, by using derivatised versions of the oxime ligand, it was possible to significantly increase the ground spin state from S = 4 to S = 12 in the former and from S = 2to S = 6 in the latter, enhancing the effective energy barrier for magnetization reversal to record levels [11-13].

Inspired by the work of Brechin, Milios and Perlepes involving the synthesis and characterization of Mn(III) and Fe(III) salicylaldoximato clusters [1–16] and given the preference of the salicylaldoximes to coordinate to trivalent metal ions we decided to initiate a project to study the coordination chemistry of salicyladoximes with Ga(III) aiming to produce diamagnetic analogs of

ABSTRACT

The reactions of $Ga(acac)_3$ with salicylaldoxime $(saoH_2)$ and methyl-salicylaldoxime $(Me-saoH_2)$ in dichloromethane/hexane afforded the complexes $[Ga(acac)(saoH)_2]$ (1) and $[Ga(acac)_3][Ga(acac)(Me-saoH)_2]$ (2), respectively, in high yields. The crystal structures of 1 and 2 have been determined by single-crystal X-ray crystallography. Both complexes are mononuclear with the Ga(III) atoms being in octahedral environments surrounded by two bidentate chelate R-saoH⁻ and one bidentate chelate acac⁻ ligands. A $[Ga(acac)_3]$ moiety has co-crystallized along with the methylsalicylaldoximato complex. Characteristic IR as well as NMR data are discussed in terms of the nature of bonding in the structures of the two complexes. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data in CDCl₃ indicate that the salicylaldoximato complexes isomerize in solution.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

POLYHEDRON

the already known high nuclearity and/or different nuclearity clusters. Our interest in Ga(III) chemistry [24–29] stems from the fact that some gallium(III) complexes have emerged as lead-ing materials for optoelectronic devices such as light-emitting diodes (LEDs) and laser diodes in the blue/UV region [30,31] as well as potential metallo-drugs mainly due to their antiproliferative activity [32–34].

Herein, we report our first efforts toward the synthesis and characterization of Ga(III) complexes with salicylaldoximes. To this end, we synthesized and characterized by X-ray crystallography, IR, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy two new mononuclear Ga^{III} complexes namely [Ga(acac)(saoH)₂] (1) and [Ga(acac)₃][Ga(acac)(Me-saoH)₂] (2) (acacH = acetylacetone, saoH₂ = salicylaldoxime and Me-saoH₂ = methylsalicylaldoxime). Complexes 1 and 2 represent the second and third salicyladoximato Ga(III) complexes [35].

2. Experimental

2.1. General and physical measurements

All manipulations were performed under aerobic conditions. Gallium metal, acetylacetone, salicylaldehyde, 2'-hydroxyacetophenone, hydroxylamine hydrochloride, sodium carbonate and all solvents were obtained from commercial sources and used as received. Microanalyses (C, H and N) were performed with an EA 1108 Carlo Erba analyzer. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer 880 IR spectrometer with samples prepared as KBr pellets.



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +30 210 727 4840; fax: +30 210 727 4782. *E-mail address:* gspapaef@chem.uoa.gr (G.S. Papaefstathiou).

^{0277-5387/\$ -} see front matter @ 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.poly.2009.04.039



Fig. 1. The structures of the ligands discussed in the text.

 1 H and 13 C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian UNITY Plus spectrometer operating at 300 MHz. Samples were run in a 5 mm probe with CDCl₃ as internal lock and reference.

2.2. Compound preparation

2.2.1. Synthesis of Ga(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and Ga(acac)₃

A drop of gallium metal (5.808 g, 83.3 mmol) was weighed in a 100 mL flat bottom flask. Concentrated HNO₃ (65%, 50 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature to produce a clear colorless solution. The solution was cooled at 5 °C and seeded with a small crystal of Ga(NO₃)₃·9H₂O. Almost immediately the whole mass of the solution transformed to a white crystalline solid. The solid was crashed with a glass rod and Ga(NO₃)₃·9H₂O isolated by vacuum filtration, washed two times with ice-cold water $(2 \times 3 \text{ mL})$ and dried over CaCl₂. Yield: 25.8 g, 74% based on Ga. The filtrate, including the washing liquids, was transferred to a 250 mL flat bottom flask and diluted with water to a final volume of 100 mL. The pH of the solution was adjusted to \sim 2-3 with concentrated NH₃ (25%) and then acacH (26.7 mL, 25.9 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated to reflux while concentrated NH₃ was added in drops until no obvious turbidity was observed by adding. Ga(acac)₃ was separated as a pale white crystalline solid. The pH of the final solution was \sim 7–8. The mixture was left to cool at room temperature and then Ga(acac)₃ was isolated by vacuum filtration, washed several times with water and dried over CaCl₂. Yield: 6.7 g. IR (KBr pellets, cm^{-1}): 2998w, 2966w, 2922w, 1586s, 1532s, 1382sbr, 1278m, 1194w, 1018m, 934m, 795wsh, 776w, 682w, 654w, 582w. The total yield for both $Ga(NO_3)_3$ ·9H₂O and $Ga(acac)_3$ was 96% based on Ga. Both compounds gave satisfactory analytical data. Ga(acac)₃ can be also synthesized by the reaction of an aqua solution of Ga(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and 12-fold excess of acacH in the presence of concentrated NH₃ as described above in yields of 80-85%.

2.2.2. Synthesis of $saoH_2$ and Me-sao H_2

A water solution (20 mL) containing hydroxylamine hydrochloride (1.40 g, 20.0 mmol) and Na₂CO₃ (1.06 g, 10 mmol) was added to a 100 mL flat bottom flask containing salicylaldehyde (2.46 g, 20 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred for three days. Salicylaldoxime was separated as a white solid. The solid was isolated by vacuum filtration, washed several times with water and dried over CaCl₂. Yield: 1.84 g, 67%. IR (KBr pellets, cm⁻¹): 3371mbr, 1623msh, 1614s, 1573s, 1492s, 1472m, 1408m, 1396msh, 1390m, 1295msh, 1285s, 1258s, 1120m, 1192m, 1152m, 1113w, 1037w, 989s, 957m, 899s, 856w, 786m, 753m, 739msh, 720m, 696m, 648s, 561m, 470m. Me-saoH₂ was prepared in a similar manner by replacing salicylaldehyde by 2'-hydroxyacetophenone (2.4 mL, 20 mmol). Yield: 2.65 g, 88%. IR (KBr pellets, cm⁻¹): 3343mbr, 1628s, 1610s, 1584s, 1553w, 1539w, 1505s, 1500s, 1465m, 1403sbr, 1367s, 1310m, 1286s, 1250sbr, 1157m, 1124w, 1070w, 1044s, 1015s, 986w, 942s, 848s, 834s, 781sbr, 748sbr, 652sbr, 561m, 510m.

2.2.3. [Ga(acac)(saoH)₂] (1)

Ga(acac)₃ (0.13 g, 0.35 mmol) and saoH₂ (0.10 g, 0.73 mmol) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). The solution was heated gently and hexane (25 mL) was added. The resulting colorless solution was refluxed for 30 min and left undisturbed to cool to room temperature. Colorless crystals of **1** were formed over a period of one day. The crystals were isolated by vacuum filtration, washed with hexane (2 × 5 mL) and dried in air. Yield: 0.12 g, 77%. *Anal.* Calc. for C₁₉H₁₉GaN₂O₆: C, 51.74; H, 4.34; N, 6.35. Found: C, 51.78; H, 4.29; N, 6.37%. IR (KBr pellets, cm⁻¹): 3064mbr, 3004w, 1647m, 1595s, 1586ssh, 1552s, 1530s, 1517s, 1465s, 1440s, 1375s, 1350m, 1328m, 1278s, 1195m, 1150m, 1120w, 1013s, 963w, 953w, 930m, 907m, 859w, 806m, 786w, 757s, 740w, 687w, 642m, 599m, 586w, 570w, 548w, 491w, 453m.

2.2.4. [Ga(acac)₃][Ga(acac)(Me-saoH)₂] (2)

Ga(acac)₃ (0.12 g, 0.32 mmol) and Me-saoH₂ (0.05 g, 0.33 mmol) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (4 mL). The solution was heated gently and hexane (30 mL) was added. The resulting colorless solution was refluxed for 30 min and left undisturbed to cool to room temperature. Light yellow crystals of **1** were formed over a period of two days. The crystals were isolated by vacuum filtration, washed with hexane (2 × 5 mL) and dried in air. Yield: 0.09 g, 65%. *Anal.* Calc. for C₃₆H₄₄Ga₂N₂O₁₂: C, 51.71; H, 5.30; N, 3.35. Found: C, 51.76; H, 5.27; N, 3.38%. IR (KBr pellets, cm⁻¹): 3050wbr, 2960w, 1593ssh, 1579s, 1555w, 1528s, 1439m, 1400m, 1337s, 1322w, 1303m, 1275m, 1242m, 1192w, 1160w, 1137w, 1130w, 1076w, 1044w, 1019m, 961w, 953w, 928m, 862m, 770m, 763m, 682w, 644w, 617w, 580w, 565w, 480w.

2.3. Single-crystal X-ray crystallography

The data collection for the single crystals of compounds **1** and **2** was carried out at 22 °C on a SMART CCD 1k diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo K α radiation. Complete crystal data and parameters for data collection and processing are reported in Table 1.

The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-86 [36] and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques on F^2 with SHELXL-97 [37]. The coordinates of the metal atoms were obtained from the initial solutions and for all other non-hydrogen atoms found in subsequent difference Fourier syntheses. All hydrogen atoms were introduced at calculated positions as riding on bonded atoms. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Brief synthetic comments

Complexes **1** and **2**, were obtained by the ligand substitution reactions between Ga(acac)₃ (acacH = 2,4,-pentanedione) and RsaoH₂ (R = H saoH₂, R = Me Me-saoH₂) in CH₂Cl₂/hexane solutions as crystalline solids. Eq. (1) summarizes the preparation of the representative complex **1**. Altering the Ga(acac)₃/saoH₂ ratio in the same solvent mixture did not affect the identity of the product but the yield of the reaction. The use of more polar solvents such as alcohols, acetone or acetonitrile resulted in oily products that could not turn to solids by adding hexane or diethylether. In the case of Me-saoH₂, we were not able to isolate the [Ga(acac)(MesaoH)₂] without the presence of Ga(acac)₃. The use of polar solvents had the same effect as in the case of **1**.

Table 1	
Crystallographic data for complexes 1 and 2.	

Parameter	1	2
Formula Formula weight Crystal color, habit Crystal dimensions (mm) Crystal system Space group	$C_{19}H_{19}GaN_2O_6$ 441.08 colorless, plates 0.35 × 0.28 × 0.08 monoclinic P2/c	$\begin{array}{c} C_{36}H_{44}Ga_2N_2O_{12}\\ 836.17\\ colorless, plates\\ 0.30\times0.28\times0.08\\ monoclinic\\ P2_1/n \end{array}$
Unit cell dimensions a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) α (°) β (°) γ (°) γ (°) γ (°) γ (Å) Z $\rho_{calc.}(g cm^{-3})$ Radiation, λ (Å) T (K) θ Range (°) μ (mm ⁻¹) Collected reflections Independent reflections (R_{int}) Observed reflections (R_{int})	13.110(3) 10.720(2) 14.975(4) 90 112.680(7) 90 194.8(8) 4 1.509 Mo Kα, 0.71073 298(2) 1.68-23.49 1.455 7050 2840 (0.0373) 2037 253 1.955, -0.655	19.366(3) 8.2867(15) 23.900(4) 90 98.736(6) 90 3791.0(12) 4 1.465 Mo Kα, 0.71073 298(2) 1.26-23.50 1.485 13 970 5396 (0.0600) 3333 469 0.817, -0.716
Goodness-of-fit (GOF) (on F^2) R_1^a , wR_2^b (all data) R_1^a , wR_2^b ($I > 2\sigma(I)$)	1.066 0.0946, 0.2081 0.0687, 0.1966 ^c	0.992 0.1257, 0.2546 0.0728, 0.2058 ^d

^a
$$R_1 = \sum (|F_0| - |F_c|) / \sum (|F_0|).$$

^b
$$wR_2 = \left\{ \sum \left| w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 \right| / \sum \left| w(F_o^2)^2 \right| \right\}$$

^c For 2037 reflections with
$$l > 2\sigma(l)$$

^d For 3333 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$.

$$Ga(acac)_{3} + 2saoH_{2} \xrightarrow{CH_{2}Cl_{2}}_{hexane} [Ga(acac)(saoH)_{2}] + 2acacH$$
(1)

× 1/2

3.2. Description of structures

Complex **1** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group P2/c. The molecular structure of **1** is shown in Fig. 2 while selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table 2. The Ga^{III} ion is in a distorted octahedral geometry. Two saoH⁻ ligands chelate the Ga^{III} ion through the deprotonated phenoxy oxygen atom and the oximic nitrogen atom with the oxygen atoms in *trans* and the nitrogen atoms in *cis* orientation. A bidentate chelate acac⁻ ligand completes the octahedron with both oxygen atoms in *trans* orientation with respect to the oximic nitrogen atoms of the saoH⁻ ligands. The Ga-O_{acac} and Ga-O_{saoH} are very similar ranging from 1.928 to 1.934 Å while the two Ga-N distances are 2.067 and 2.069 Å



Fig. 2. Ball and stick representation of the molecular structure of 1.

Table 2

Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) in $\boldsymbol{1}.$

Ga1-01	1.934(5)	Ga1-06	1.932(6)
Ga1-03	1.927(5)	Ga1-N1	2.067(6)
Ga1-05	1.931(6)	Ga1-N2	2.069(6)
01-Ga1-03	166.3(2)	03-Ga1-N2	86.3(2)
01-Ga1-05	95.3(2)	05-Ga1-06	92.6(3)
01-Ga1-06	91.8(2)	05-Ga1-N1	178.2(2)
01-Ga1-N1	85.7(2)	05-Ga1-N2	86.3(3)
01-Ga1-N2	84.2(2)	06-Ga1-N1	89.0(3)
03-Ga1-05	94.0(2)	06-Ga1-N2	175.7(2)
03-Ga1-06	97.9(2)	N1-Ga1-N2	92.3(3)
03-Ga1-N1	84.8(2)		

for Ga1–N1 and Ga1–N2, respectively and compare favorably with those of other octahedral Ga(III) complexes [38]. Each of the two oximic OH groups forms an intramolecular hydrogen bond with the phenoxy oxygen atom of a neighboring saoH⁻ [O2–H2A···O3, O··O 2.643(9) Å and O4–H4A···O1, O··O 2.621(7) Å].

Complex **2** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$, with two mononuclear complexes in the unit cell, namely [Ga(a-cac)₃] and [Ga(acac)(Me-saoH)₂]. Fig. 3 shows the asymmetric unit of **2**, while selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table 3. The structure of [Ga(acac)₃] within **2** compares well with the structures of [Ga(acac)₃] [39] and [Ga(acac)₃]·*trans*-CHCl=CHCl [40]. The structure of [Ga(acac)(Me-saoH)₂] is very similar to that of **1**. The Ga^{III} ion is in a distorted octahedral geometry with two bidentate chelate Me-saoH⁻ ligands to coordinate through the deprotonated phenoxy oxygen atom and the oximic nitrogen atom around the Ga^{III} ion with the oxygen atoms in *trans* and the nitrogen atoms in *cis* orientation. The acac⁻ ligand chelates the central atom with



Fig. 3. Ball and stick representation of the asymmetric unit of 2.

T-	h 1.	
Id	nic	:

Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) in 2.

Ga1-01	1.920(6)	Ga2-09	1.925(7)
Ga1-03	1.901(7)	Ga2-010	1.947(6)
Ga1-05	1.935(6)	Ga2-011	1.938(7)
Ga1-06	1.932(5)	Ga2-012	1.935(7)
Ga1-N1	2.112(7)	Ga2-07	1.938(6)
Ga1-N2	2.069(7)	Ga2-08	1.953(5)
01-Ga1-03	164.6(3)	08-Ga2-011	178.9(3)
01-Ga1-05	94.4(2)	08-Ga2-012	89.3(3)
01-Ga1-06	92.8(2)	09-Ga2-010	92.5(3)
01-Ga1-N1	83.2(3)	09-Ga2-011	86.4(3)
01-Ga1-N2	85.3(2)	09-Ga2-012	178.1(3)
03-Ga1-05	94.2(2)	010-Ga2-011	93.2(3)
03-Ga1-06	99.5(3)	010-Ga2-012	87.3(3)
03-Ga1-N1	84.1(3)	011-Ga2-012	91.7(3)
03-Ga1-N2	86.4(2)	08-Ga2-010	87.3(3)
05-Ga1-06	92.6(2)	07-Ga2-08	90.9(3)
05-Ga1-N1	90.1(3)	07-Ga2-09	89.4(3)
05-Ga1-N2	178.6(2)	07-Ga2-010	177.5(3)
06-Ga1-N1	175.4(3)	07-Ga2-011	88.6(3)
06-Ga1-N2	86.1(3)	07-Ga2-012	90.9(3)
N1-Ga1-N2	91.3(3)	08-Ga2-09	92.6(2)

both oxygen atoms in *trans* orientation with respect to the oximic nitrogen atoms of the Me-saoH⁻ ligands. The oximic OH groups form two intramolecular hydrogen bonds with the phenoxy oxygen atoms of the neighboring Me-saoH⁻ ligands [O2–H2A···O3, O···O 2.609(8) Å and O4–H4A···O1, O···O 2.589(8) Å].

3.3. Spectroscopic characterization

3.3.1. IR spectroscopy

In the v(O-H) region, the IR spectra of the complexes exhibit medium-intensity broad bands at 3064 (1) and 3050 (2) cm⁻¹, assignable to $v(O-H)_{\text{oxime}}$. The broadness and the relatively low frequency of these bands are both indicative of hydrogen bonding. The v(C=N) in spectra free ligands appear at 1623 and 1628 cm⁻¹ for saoH₂ and Me-saoH₂, respectively. These bands are shifted to lower wavenumbers in the spectra of 1 (1595 cm⁻¹) and 2 (1593 cm⁻¹) due to complexation. The v(C=C) and v(C=O) modes

of the acetylacetonate ligand at 1586 and 1532 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum of $Ga(acac)_3$ have not shifted significantly in the spectra of **1** (1586 and 1530 cm⁻¹) and **2** (1579 and 1528 cm⁻¹), respectively.

3.3.2. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **1**, **2**, Ga(acac)₃, saoH₂ and Me-saoH₂ were recorded in CDCl₃ solution at ambient temperature. Figs. 4, 5 and 7, 8 show the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **1** and **2**, respectively. The signal assignment is based on chemical shifts and comparison between the spectra of the complexes and the spectra of the starting materials. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of Ga(acac)₃, saoH₂ and Me-saoH₂ are shown in Figs. S1–S6. The methyl (CH₃) and methinic (CH) protons of the acac⁻ ligands in spectrum of Ga(acac)₃ appear as two singlets at 2.01 and 5.44 ppm, respectively (Fig. S1). The spectrum of 1 (Fig. 4) is more complex in these two regions exhibiting three distinct signals (singlets) and a shoulder for each of the methyl and methinic protons of the acac⁻ ligand. The oximic (NOH) and the methinic (C-H) protons in the spectrum of saoH₂ appear as two singlets at 10.03 and 8.24 ppm, respectively (Fig. S2). Those signals have shifted downfield in the spectrum of 1 due to the deprotonation and coordination of the saoH⁻ ligands and split in sets of two peaks at 9.96 and 9.75 for the oximic and 8.22 and 8.20 for the methinic protons. The phenolic proton (OH) appears as a singlet at 7.86 in the spectrum of saoH₂ and it is absent in the spectrum of 1. The aromatic protons in the spectrum of saoH₂ appear as two triplets for the C3 and C4 protons and two doublets for C2 and C5 protons (for numbering pattern see Fig. 1). The spectrum of 1 is more complex in the region of the aromatic protons exhibiting not only overlapping signals of triplets and doublets but overlapping of lower integration peaks which indicate the presence of isomers in the CDCl₃ solution.

The ¹³C NMR spectrum of **1** corroborates with the presence of isomers in the CDCl₃ solution (Fig. 5). The methyl (CH₃), methinic (CH) and carbonyl (CO) carbon atoms of the acac⁻ ligands appear at 27.36, 100.36 and 192.96 ppm in the spectrum of Ga(acac)₃ (Fig. S3). Those signals have not shifted significantly in the spectrum of **1**, but the signals of the methyl and methinic carbon atoms have split in sets of two signals (Fig. 5). The seven carbon atoms of saoH₂ appear as seven distinct peaks in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of







Fig. 6. The structures of the three geometric isomers of the Tris–chelate $[{\sf Ga}(0,0)(0,N)_2]$ complexes.

the ligand (Fig. S4). Those peaks have shifted and split in sets of two peaks for all carbon atoms of saoH⁻ except for the C1 and C6 which appear as two single peaks at 194.14 and 117.23 ppm in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of **1**, respectively (Fig. 5). The fact that those two carbon atoms as well as the carbonyl carbon atoms of the acac⁻ ligand give very weak signals in the ¹³C NMR spectra of saoH₂ and Ga(acac)₃ and given that the minor species of **1** give a small percent signals compared with the signals of the majors species in solution, suggest that the signals of the minor species for those three carbon atoms are within the noise in the spectrum of **1**.

Tris-chelate complexes of the general formula $[Ga(O,O)(O,N)_2]$ such as **1** and the $[Ga(acac)(Me-saoH)_2]$ in **2** may exist in three iso-





meric forms [41–43]. Those three geometric isomers are shown in Fig. 6 and with respect of the orientation of the N and O atoms of the two (O,N)-ligands are the *cis–cis* (*cc*), the *cis–trans* (*ct*) and the *trans–cis* (*tc*) with the latter being the one isolated in the solid-state in **1** and **2**. The *ct* and *tc* isomers have a C_2 -symmetry where as *cc* has a C_1 -symmetry. The ¹H and ¹³C solution NMR spectra support at least the presence of two isomers in different proportion. The overlapping of the signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** makes the evaluation of the proportion difficult. A rough estimation of the proportion of the two isomers are present in the CDCl₃ solution in 72:28% ratio.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** indicates the presence of at least two isomers in CDCl₃ solution (Fig. 7). The aliphatic region is more complicated than that in the spectrum of **1** due to the presence of the methyl groups of the Ga(acac)₃ and the Me-saoH⁻. The region where the methinic protons of the acac- ligands appear exhibits five signals, four of which are attributed to the two isomers and one to the methinic proton of the Ga(acac)₃. The aromatic region contains overlapping signals of triplets and doublets as well as lower integration peaks which indicate the presence of the other isomer. A rough estimation of the proportion of the two isomers made again on the oximic protons which appear as two peaks at 10.51 and 11.16 ppm in the spectrum of **2** suggests that the two isomers are present in the CDCl₃ solution in 74:26% ratio, which is similar to that observed in **1**. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of **2** exhibits two peaks for the Me-saoH⁻ methyl carbon atoms at 10.76 and 13.81 ppm (Fig. 8). The remaining Me-saoH⁻ carbon atoms except C1, C6 and C7 (for numbering pattern see Fig. 1) appear as two sets of peaks in the ¹³C spectrum of **2**, while there are eight distinct signals for the carbon atoms in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of Me-saoH₂ (Fig. S6). As in the case of 1, the C1, C6 and C7 atoms give very weak signals in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of Me-saoH₂ and therefore the signals of the minor species of **2** for those three carbon atoms are not observed. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of **2** exhibits two peaks for the acac⁻ methyl carbon atoms around 27 ppm, two peaks for the methinic carbon atom at 100.38 and 101.08 ppm and two peaks for the carbonyl carbon atoms at 194.10 and 192.98 ppm. A closer look at the spectrum of **2** and the spectrum of $Ga(acac)_3$ indicates that the higher intensity signals at 27.36, 101.38 and 192.98 ppm are due to the $Ga(acac)_3$ within **2**. Concentrating our attention at the methinic carbon signals at around 100 ppm in the spectra of **1** and **2**, we can observe that the intense and the weak signals in the spectrum of **1** have been reversed in the spectrum of **2** and that the signals of the minor species in **2** overlap with the signals of the $Ga(acac)_3$ in **2**.

4. Conclusions and perspectives

Our first attempt to employ salicylaldoximes in the coordination chemistry of Ga(III) afforded two mononuclear Ga(III) complexes. Both complexes were characterized by single-crystal Xray crystallography, IR, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. Complex **1** is an octahedral Ga(III) complex surrounded by a bidentate-chelate saoH⁻ ligand and a bidentate chelate acac⁻ ligand, while complex **2** contains the same core with Me-saoH⁻ instead of saoH⁻ and has co-crystallized with one Ga(acac)₃ molecule. Both ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of the compounds suggest the presence of at least two geometrical isomers in the CDCl₃ solution. Detailed preparations of the starting materials Ga(acac)₃, saoH₂ and Me-saoH₂ as well as $Ga(NO_3)_3 \cdot 9H_2O$ are given along with their IR and ¹H and ¹³C NMR data. Although we did not manage to isolate higher nuclearity Ga(III) complexes in our first attempt, we continue working toward the synthesis of polynuclear Ga(III) complexes with salicyladoximes.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

CCDC 729176 and 729177 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for (**1**) and (**2**). These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/ j.poly.2009.04.039.

References

- [1] C.J. Milios, C.P. Raptopoulou, A. Terzis, F. Lloret, R. Vicente, S.P. Perlepes, A. Escuer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 43 (2003) 210.
- C.J. Milios, R. Inglis, A. Vinslava, A. Prescimone, S. Parsons, S.P. Perlepes, G. [2] Christou, E.K. Brechin, Chem. Commun. (2007) 2738.
- [3] C.J. Milios, A. Prescimone, A. Mishra, S. Parsons, W. Wernsdorfer, G. Christou, S.P. Perlepes, E.K. Brechin, Chem. Commun. (2007) 153.
- [4] C.J. Milios, R. Inglis, R. Bagai, W. Wernsdorfer, A. Collins, S. Moggach, S. Parsons, S.P. Perlepes, G. Christou, E.K. Brechin, Chem. Commun. (2007) 3476.
- [5] I.A. Gass, C.J. Milios, A. Collins, F.J. White, L. Budd, S. Parsons, M. Murrie, S.P. Perlepes, E.K. Brechin, Dalton Trans. (2008) 2043.
- [6] J. Cano, T. Cauchy, E. Ruiz, C.J. Milios, C.C. Stoumpos, T.C. Stamatatos, S.P. Perlepes, G. Christou, E.K. Brechin, Dalton Trans. (2008) 234.
- [7] C.J. Milios, I.A. Gass, A. Vinslava, L. Budd, S. Parsons, W. Wernsdorfer, S.P. Perlebes, G. Christou, E.K. Brechin, Inorg. Chem. 46 (2007) 6215.
- I.A. Gass, C.J. Milios, A.G. Whittaker, F.P.A. Fabiani, S. Parsons, M. Murrie, S.P. [8] C.J. Millos, A. Vinslava, A.G. Whittaker, S. Parsons, W. Wernsdorfer, G. Christou,
- [9] S.P. Perlepes, E.K. Brechin, Inorg. Chem. 45 (2006) 5272.
- [10] C.J. Milios, P.A. Wood, S. Parsons, D. Foguet-Albiol, C. Lampropoulos, G. Christou, S.P. Perlepes, E.K. Brechin, Inorg. Chim. Acta 360 (2007) 3932.
- C.J. Milios, A. Vinslava, P.A. Wood, S. Parsons, W. Wernsdorfer, G. Christou, S.P. [11] Perlepes, E.K. Brechin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129 (2007) 8.
- C.J. Milios, A. Vinslava, W. Wernsdorfer, S. Moggach, S. Parsons, S.P. Perlepes, G. [12]
- Christou, E.K. Brechin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129 (2007) 2754. [13] C.J. Milios, A. Vinslava, W. Wernsdorfer, A. Prescimone, P.A. Wood, S. Parsons, S.P. Perlepes, G. Christou, E.K. Brechin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129 (2007) 6547.
- C.J. Milios, R. Inglis, A. Vinslava, R. Bagai, W. Wernsdorfer, S. Parsons, S.P. [14] Perlepes, G. Christou, E.K. Brechin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129 (2007) 12505.
- [15] A. Prescimone, C.J. Milios, S. Moggach, J.E. Warren, A.R. Lennie, J. Sanchez-Benitez, K. Kamenev, R. Bircher, M. Murrie, S. Parsons, E.K. Brechin, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 47 (2008) 2828.
- [16] C.J. Millos, A.G. Whittaker, E.K. Brechin, Polyhedron 26 (2007) 1927.
- [17] P. Chaudhuri, M. Hess, E. Rentschler, T. Weyhermüller, U. Flörke, New J. Chem. 22 (1998) 553
- [18] J.M. Thorpe, R.L. Beddoes, D. Collison, C.D. Garner, M. Helliwell, J.M. Holmes, P.A. Tasker, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 38 (1999) 1119.
- [19] H.-B. Xu, B.-W. Wang, F. Pan, Z.-M. Wang, S. Gao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 46 (2007) 7388.

- [20] C.-I. Yang, W. Wernsdorfer, G.-H. Lee, H.-L. Tsai, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129 (2007) 456
- [21] C.P. Raptopoulou, A.K. Boudalis, Y. Sanakis, V. Psycharis, J.M. Clemente-Juan, M. Fardis, G. Diamantopoulos, G. Papavassiliou, Inorg. Chem. 45 (2006) 2317.
- [22] C.P. Raptopoulou, Y. Sanakis, A.K. Boudalis, V. Psycharis, Polyhedron 24 (2005) 711.
- [23] C.C. Stoumpos, R. Inglis, G. Karotsis, L.F. Jones, A. Collins, S. Parsons, C.J. Milios, G.S. Papaefstathiou, E.K. Brechin, Cryst. Growth Des. 9 (2009) 24.
- G.S. Papaefstathiou, S. Manessi, C.P. Raptopoulou, E.J. Behrman, Th.F. Zafiropoulos, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 7 (2004) 69.
- A. Manessi, G.S. Papaefstathiou, C.P. Raptopoulou, A. Terzis, Th.F. Zafiropoulos, J. Inorg. Biochem. 98 (2004) 2052.
- [26] A. Sofetis, G.S. Papaefstathiou, A. Terzis, C.P. Raptopoulou, Th.F. Zafiropoulos, Z. Naturforsch. 59b (2004) 291.
- [27] G.S. Papaefstathiou, A. Manessi, C.P. Raptopoulou, A. Terzis, Th.F. Zafiropoulos, Inorg. Chem. 45 (2006) 8823.
- [28] G.S. Papaefstathiou, A. Sofetis, C.P. Raptopoulou, A. Terzis, G.A. Spyroulias, Th.F. Zafiropoulos, J. Mol. Struct. 837 (2007) 5.
- [29] A. Kagkelari, V. Bekiari, E. Stathatos, G.S. Papaefstathiou, C.P. Raptopoulou, Th.F. Zafiropoulos, P. Lianos, J. Lumin. 129 (2009) 578.
- [30] A.R. Barron, A.N. MacInnes, in: R.B. King (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry, vol. 3, Wiley, New York, 1994, p. 1249.
- [31] F.A. Ponce, D.B. Bour, Nature 386 (1997) 351.
- M.A. Jakupec, M. Galanski, V.B. Arion, C.G. Hartinger, B.K. Keppler, Dalton Trans. (2008) 183.
- [33] C.G. Hartinger, B.K. Keppler, Electrophoresis 28 (2007) 3436.
- [34] M.A. Jakupec, B.K. Keppler, Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 4 (2004) 1575.
- [35] S.J. Rettig, A. Storr, J. Trotter, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C 48 (1992) 1587
- [36] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELXS-86, Structure Solving Program, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1986.
- [37] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97, Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures from Diffraction Data, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- [38] G. Bandoli, A. Dolmella, F. Tisato, M. Porchia, F. Refosco, Coord. Chem. Rev. 253 (2009) 56.
- [39] K. Dymock, G.J. Palenik, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 30 (1974) 1364.
- i40i L. Pang, M.A. Whitehead, E.A.C. Lucken, Inorg. Chim. Acta 203 (1993) 239.
- [41] E.C. Constable, C.E. Housecroft, V. Jullien, M. Neuburger, I. Poleschak, S. Reymann, S. Saxer, S. Schaffner, Polyhedron 26 (2007) 5519.
- [42] S.K. Ramalingam, S.A. Samath, Transition Met. Chem. 15 (1990) 374.
- [43] P. Byabartta, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A 66 (2007) 521.