This article was downloaded by: *[Eleftheratos, Costas]* On: *1 May 2011* Access details: *Access Details: [subscription number 937063074]* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



International Journal of Remote Sensing

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713722504

A note on the comparison between total ozone from Oslo CTM2 and SBUV satellite data

K. Eleftheratos^{ab}; C. S. Zerefos^{abc}; E. Gerasopoulos^c; I. S. A. Isaksen^d; B. Rognerud^d; S. Dalsøren^d; C. Varotsos^e

^a Faculty of Geology and Geoenvironment, University of Athens, Athens, Greece ^b Biomedical Research Foundation, Academy of Athens, Athens, Greece ^c National Observatory of Athens, Athens, Greece ^d Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway ^e Faculty of Physics, University of Athens, Athens, Greece

Online publication date: 29 April 2011

To cite this Article Eleftheratos, K. , Zerefos, C. S. , Gerasopoulos, E. , Isaksen, I. S. A. , Rognerud, B. , Dalsøren, S. and Varotsos, C.(2011) 'A note on the comparison between total ozone from Oslo CTM2 and SBUV satellite data', International Journal of Remote Sensing, 32: 9, 2535 - 2545

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/01431161003698401

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01431161003698401

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



A note on the comparison between total ozone from Oslo CTM2 and SBUV satellite data

K. ELEFTHERATOS*†‡, C. S. ZEREFOS†‡\$, E. GERASOPOULOS\$,
I. S. A. ISAKSEN¶, B. ROGNERUD¶, S. DALSØREN¶ and C. VAROTSOS|
†Faculty of Geology and Geoenvironment, University of Athens, Athens, Greece
‡Biomedical Research Foundation, Academy of Athens, Athens, Greece
§National Observatory of Athens, Athens, Greece
¶Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
|Faculty of Physics, University of Athens, Athens, Greece

(Received 14 September 2009; in final form 8 December 2010)

The results of a comparison between total ozone amounts derived from solar backscatter ultraviolet (SBUV) satellite observations and those calculated from the chemical transport model Oslo CTM2 are presented for the period 2001–2007. Monthly mean total ozone amounts from improved model simulations were used to compute monthly, seasonal and annual zonal means over 10° latitude zones, and compared with respective satellite retrievals over the northern and southern hemispheres. The results show that the improved model simulations slightly underestimate total ozone over the northern hemisphere when compared with the satellites by 1.4% on average, and slightly overestimate total ozone over the southern extra-tropics, middle and high latitudes by 1.6% on average. The mean difference between the model- and satellite-derived total ozone columns from 75°S to 75° N is estimated to be about -0.3%. A linear regression analysis between the model- and satellite-derived total ozone data shows statistically significant correlations between the two data sets at all latitude zones (about +0.8 in the tropics and more than +0.9 over all other latitudes). The annual cycle of total ozone is shown to be well reproduced by the model at all latitudes.

1. Introduction

Ozone is an important constituent of the Earth's atmosphere at a height of between 10 and 50 km. It absorbs ultraviolet radiation from the Sun and protects the biosphere from harmful effects of ultraviolet radiation. Ozone column amounts in the atmosphere can be obtained from surface measurements and satellite observations (e.g. Varotsos and Cracknell 1994, Zerefos *et al.* 1994, Chandra and Varotsos 1995, Gernandt *et al.* 1995, Varotsos *et al.* 1995, Kondratyev and Varotsos 1996, Zerefos 1997, Fioletov *et al.* 2002, Varotsos 2002, Svendby and Dahlback 2004, Chipperfield and Fioletov 2007, Kramer and Cracknell 2008), and can be calculated by chemistryclimate and chemistry-transport models (e.g. Eyring *et al.* 2006, Steinbrecht *et al.* 2006, Stolarski *et al.* 2006, Austin *et al.* 2008, Søvde *et al.* 2008). The ability of models to reproduce the observed atmosphere comes from the key physical and chemical processes included in the models (Søvde *et al.* 2008).

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: kelef@geol.uoa.gr

These models are continually being improved to include comprehensive chemistry and physics of both the troposphere and the stratosphere (e.g. Søvde *et al.* 2008 and references therein), as in the case of the Oslo chemical transport model (CTM2). To make the Oslo CTM2 model suitable for studying processes in the upper tropospheric and lower stratospheric (UTLS) region, the original tropospheric model (Stordal *et al.* 1985, Isaksen *et al.* 1990, Berntsen and Isaksen 1997, Sundet 1997) was extended to include comprehensive chemistry for the stratosphere (Gauss *et al.* 2003). The updated version with improved microphysics and heterogeneous chemistry and the extension of vertical layers to 60 has improved the capability to predict the distribution of ozone and precursors in the UTLS region, in the upper stratospheric region and in the troposphere (Søvde *et al.* 2008).

The purpose of this study was to provide additional evidence of improved simulations in total ozone columns by the updated Oslo CTM2 model, through a comparison of monthly, seasonal and annual mean total ozone, from the improved simulations for the period 2001–2007, with respective to total ozone averages from solar backscatter ultraviolet (SBUV) satellite data.

2. Data

2.1 The Oslo CTM2

The Oslo CTM2 is a global offline chemical transport model, driven by meteorological data from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts Integrated Forecast System (IFS) model. The meteorological data are given on a 3-hourly basis, produced for each day by a 36-h forecast with 12 h of spin-up, initialized from the analysis at noon (1200 Coordinated Universal Time (UTC)) the previous day (discussed by, for example, Wild et al. (2003), Søvde et al. (2008)). Using forecasts rather than analyses gives a more dynamically self-consistent data set and has been shown to give more realistic transport (e.g. Stohl et al. 2004, Scheele et al. 2005). The use of 3-hourly meteorological data instead of, for example, 6-hourly data, has been found to improve the transport further (e.g. Bregman et al. 2006). In the IFS model a spectral resolution of T319 is applied (T319 is approximately $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ grid resolution, longitude/latitude, widely known by modellers). The horizontal resolution of the Oslo CTM2 can be varied between T21 (resolution of $5.6^{\circ} \times 5.6^{\circ}$, longitude/latitude), T42 ($2.8^{\circ} \times 2.8^{\circ}$), T63 ($1.9^{\circ} \times 1.9^{\circ}$) and $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$, into which the IFS spectral fields are truncated. The IFS data, available as gridded data, are averaged into the model grid. Sigma pressure hybrid coordinates are used in the vertical, extending in 40 layers from the surface up to 2 hPa (the uppermost layer mass centre is at 10 hPa). In the tropopause region the vertical resolution varies between about 0.8 km at high latitudes and about 1.2 km at low latitudes, and above 100 hPa the resolution is 20 hPa. Advective transport is calculated using the highly accurate and low diffusive secondorder moments scheme (Prather 1986).

To make the Oslo CTM2 suited for studying processes in the UTLS, the original tropospheric model (Stordal *et al.* 1985, Isaksen *et al.* 1990, Berntsen and Isaksen 1997, Sundet 1997) was extended to include comprehensive chemistry for the stratosphere as well (Gauss *et al.* 2003). A heterogeneous chemistry scheme (Carslaw *et al.* 1995) and the Fast-J2 method for the calculation of photodissociation coefficients (Wild *et al.* 2000, Bian and Prather 2002) were included, and the vertical resolution was improved. The parameterizations of lightning and aircraft emissions, both important for the nitrogen budget in the UTLS, were refined. The Oslo CTM2 has now been

improved with a new scheme for microphysics and heterogeneous chemistry, to better represent the formation of polar stratospheric clouds, including denitrification and dehydration (Søvde *et al.* 2008).

The Oslo CTM2 has previously been applied in model/model comparisons and tested against observations (Isaksen *et al.* 1990, 2005, Grini *et al.* 2002, Brunner *et al.* 2003, 2005, Gauss *et al.* 2003, 2006, Isaksen 2003, Andersen *et al.* 2006). It has been evaluated against measurements by satellite-based instruments, ozonesondes and aircraft (Søvde *et al.* 2008).

The tropospheric chemistry scheme is run with a numerical time step of 15 min (5 min for $OH/HO_2/RO_2$ reactions), contains 51 species and takes into account 86 thermal reactions, 17 photolytic reactions and 2 heterogeneous reactions (which are important in the new heterogeneous chemistry). It includes hydrocarbon chemistry and has been thoroughly tested (Brunner et al. 2003). The stratospheric chemistry scheme is an extension of the scheme used by Stordal et al. (1985) for the Oslo 2-D model and was later updated to include heterogeneous chemistry (Isaksen et al. 1990) before it was included in the 3-D Oslo stratospheric chemical transport model (SCTM-1; Rummukainen et al. 1999) and the Oslo CTM2. Fifty-five species and seven families are included, and a total of 159 reactions (104 thermal, 47 photolytic and 8 heterogeneous), which are integrated with a numerical time step of 5 min. Of these species, 17 are also treated in the tropospheric scheme. The heterogeneous chemistry scheme is part of the stratospheric chemistry. The total number of species in the Oslo CTM2 amount to 97, including families. Bromine, chlorine chemistry and NOx are included. All reactions and species in the Oslo CTM2 are described in detail in the study by Søvde et al. (2008).

2.2 SBUV satellite data

The total ozone satellite data used in this study come from the Solar Backscatter UltraViolet Instrument (SBUV/2). Use was made of the Version 8 Zonal Profile Ozone data set for the period January 2001 to December 2007. The SBUV/2 instrument is a scanning double monochromator measuring backscattered solar radiation in 12 discrete wavelength bands ranging from 252.0 to 339.8 nm. In previous SBUV algorithms, total column ozone was retrieved using the four longest wavelengths, and then a profile was retrieved using the eight shortest wavelengths. In the version 8 algorithm released in 2004, an ozone profile is retrieved using all 12 wavelengths, and total column ozone is the integral of the profile (Bhartia et al. 2004). The version 8 algorithm is optimized to provide a self-consistent long-term ozone record. The SBUV/2 satellite data used here have been reprocessed with the version 8 algorithm and are available at www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/stratosphere/sbuv2to. The data are available as column ozone in Dobson Units (DU) for 13 layers. The results of SBUV/2 ozone profile comparisons with other data sources are discussed by Petropavlovskikh et al. (2005), Nazaryan and McCormick (2005), Fioletov et al. (2006) and Terao and Logan (2007).

In this study, total ozone was calculated by summing the profile ozone data for all 13 layers.

3. Results and discussion

Figure 1(*a*) shows the latitudinal distribution of zonally averaged annual mean total ozone from Oslo CTM2 calculations for the period 2001–2007 in comparison with



Figure 1. (a) Comparison between annual mean total ozone (DU) from Oslo CTM2 calculations and SBUV satellite data for the period 2001–2007. Error bars show the standard deviation (2σ) from each mean. (b) The respective differences are shown as percentages.

total ozone from SBUV satellite observations. Figure 1(*b*) shows the respective differences between the two data sets as a percentage, calculated as [(model value – satellite value)/model value] \times 100%. From figure 1 it is evident that there are specific differences between the model- and satellite-derived annual mean total ozone. The model generally underestimates total ozone over the northern hemisphere by 1.4%, over the tropics by 0.8% and over the southern subtopics by 1.1%. However, over the southern extra-tropics, middle and high latitudes, total ozone from the model is overestimated by 1.5, 0.7 and 2.7%, respectively. Table 1 summarizes the mean differences between the model- and satellite-derived total ozone columns at each 10° latitude zone: the mean differences are less than ±2.7%.

Figure 2 shows the comparison between the model and satellite total ozone amounts for each season (December-January-February (DJF), March-April-May (MAM), June-July-August (JJA) and September-October-November (SON)), together with the respective differences as a percentage. Again there is good agreement between the latitudinal distributions of seasonally averaged total ozone from the model calculations and the satellite data. In wintertime, the highest differences between the model and the satellite data are found over the southern tropical latitudes where the model underestimates total ozone by 4.6% (figure 2(*b*)). In the northern hemisphere, however, the wintertime simulated total ozone shows excellent agreement with the satellite observations (differences less than about 1%). In springtime, differences between model and satellite-derived total ozone do not exceed $\pm 3\%$ (figure 2(*d*)), and in the summer a mean difference of about -6.5% in total ozone is observed between the latitudes 55° S and 65° S (figure 2(*f*)). In autumn, the highest

2001–2007 Latitude zone	Annual mean total ozone (in DU)		
	Oslo CTM2	SBUV	Mean difference (%)
65–75°N	352.4	358.4	-1.7
55-65°N	353.6	357.4	-1.1
45-55°N	345.9	349.6	-1.1
35–45°N	320.0	321.7	-0.5
25–35°N	286.9	289.0	-0.7
15–25°N	265.0	270.7	-2.1
5–15°N	257.4	262.2	-1.9
Equator	256.4	258.5	-0.8
5–15°S	252.6	257.6	-2.0
15–25°S	263.7	264.2	-0.2
25–35°S	284.4	281.0	+1.2
35–45°S	306.4	300.8	+1.8
45–55°S	318.4	313.7	+1.5
55–65°S	307.4	307.8	-0.1
65–75°S	280.0	272.4	+2.7

Table 1. Comparison between annual mean total ozone (in DU) from Oslo CTM2 calculations and SBUV satellite data for the period 2001–2007, averaged for each 10° latitude zone.

differences between the model and satellite data are found over the tropics of the northern hemisphere, where the model underestimates total ozone by about 4.5% (figure 2(*h*)).

In addition to the seasonal comparisons described above, total ozone data from the Oslo CTM2 were compared with SBUV satellite retrievals on a monthly basis, using linear regression analysis. Figure 3 shows scatter plots between the Oslo CTM2- and SBUV satellite-derived monthly mean total ozone, over different latitude zones: (a) northern extra-tropics (25–45°N), (b) northern middle latitudes $(45-65^{\circ}N)$, (c) northern high latitudes $(65-75^{\circ}N)$, (d) northern tropics $(5-25^{\circ}N)$, (e) equator $(5^{\circ}S-5^{\circ}N)$, (f) southern tropics $(5-25^{\circ}S)$, (g) southern extra-tropics $(25-45^{\circ}S)$, (h) southern middle latitudes $(45-65^{\circ}S)$, (i) southern high latitudes $(65-75^{\circ}S)$. The correlation analysis was performed using monthly mean data from the two data sets for the period 2001–2007. As can be inferred from the scatter plots and from the slopes of the regression lines, there are statistically significant correlations between the two data sets at all latitudes. The highest correlation coefficients are found over the extra-tropics, over middle and high latitudes and in both hemispheres (correlations greater than +0.9). Over the tropics the correlation coefficients are estimated to be about +0.8. All correlation coefficients are statistically significant at the 99% confidence level.

Part of the strong correlations shown in figure 3 can be attributed to the annual cycle of total ozone, which is presented in figure 4 for (a) the Oslo CTM2 calculations and (b) the SBUV satellite data. There is excellent agreement between the annual cycles of the two data sets, indicating the close correspondence between the model simulations and the satellite total ozone retrievals. Good comparison also exists between the latitudinal distributions of the amplitudes of the annual cycles, calculated as [(maximum value – minimum value)/2] as a percentage of the zonal mean, as shown in figure 4(c). Over the tropics the differences in the amplitude of the annual cycle are up to 2%. Over the north and south middle latitudes the differences are less than $\pm 2\%$, increasing over high latitudes.



Figure 2. Comparison between total ozone (DU) from Oslo CTM2 calculation and SBUV satellite data for the period 2001–2007 for different seasons: (*a*)-(*b*) DJF, (*c*)-(*d*) MAM, (*e*)-(*f*) JJA and (*g*)-(*h*) SON.

4. Summary

This study analysed annually and seasonal averaged total ozone amounts from improved Oslo CTM2 simulations for the period 2001–2007, and compared them with respective total ozone columns from SBUV satellite data. The main results can be summarized as follows:

- Global total ozone amounts from the Oslo CTM2 calculations show good agreement with respective total ozone amounts retrieved from the SBUV satellite data set.
- Oslo CTM2 simulations slightly underestimate the total ozone over the northern hemisphere by about 1.4% on average, and slightly overestimate the total ozone over the southern extra-tropics, middle and high latitudes by about 1.6% on average. The mean difference between the model- and satellite-derived total ozone columns over 75°S to 75°N gives an underestimation of total ozone from the model by about -0.3%.
- Monthly mean total ozone from the model was also compared with satellite retrievals using linear regression analysis. The results show statistically significant correlations between the two data sets at all latitudes (correlation coefficients of +0.8 over the tropics, and greater than +0.9 over all other latitudes).
- The latitudinal distribution of the seasonal variations of zonally averaged total ozone from the model agrees well with the respective distribution of zonally averaged total ozone from the satellite observations.



Figure 3. Scatter diagrams between monthly mean total ozone from Oslo CTM2 calculations and SBUV satellite data for the period 2001–2007, for different latitude zones: (*a*) northern extra-tropics (25–45°N), (*b*) northern middle latitudes (45–65°N), (*c*) northern high latitudes (65–75°N), (*d*) northern tropics (5–25°N), (*e*) equator (5° S–5°N), (*f*) southern tropics (5–25°S), (*g*) southern extra-tropics (25–45°S), (*h*) southern middle latitudes (45–65°S), (*i*) southern high latitudes (65–75°S).

- Good agreement also exists between the latitudinal distributions of the amplitudes of the annual cycles in total ozone from model and satellite data. Over the tropics differences of up to 2% in the amplitude of the annual cycle are observed. Correspondingly, over the north and south middle latitudes the differences are less than \pm 2%, increasing over high latitudes.
- In general, the zonal mean total ozone columns from the improved Oslo CTM2 simulations compare well with the SBUV satellite data. The differences are within $\pm 2.7\%$.

Acknowledgements

This study was conducted within the European Network of Excellence ECATS (Environmentally Compatible Air Transport System) funded by EC.



Figure 4. Comparison between the latitudinal distribution of the annual cycles of total ozone from (*a*) Oslo CTM2 calculations and (*b*) SBUV satellite data. (*c*) Comparison between the amplitudes of the annual cycles per latitude zone as a percentage of the zonal mean.

References

- ANDERSEN, S.B., WEATHERHEAD, E.C., STEVERMER, A., AUSTIN, J., BRÜHL, C., FLEMING, E.L., DE GRANDPRÉ, J., GREWE, V., ISAKSEN, I., PITARI, G., PORTMANN, R.W., ROGNERUD, B., ROSENFIELD, J.E., SMYSHLYAEV, S., NAGASHIMA, T., VELDERS, G.J.M., WEISENSTEIN, D.K. and XIA, J., 2006, Comparison of recent modelled and observed trends in total column ozone. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **111**, D02303, doi:10.1029/ 2005JD006091.
- AUSTIN, J., TOURPALI, K., ROZANOV, E., AKIYOSHI, H., BEKKI, S., BODEKER, G., BRÜHL, C., BUTCHART, N., CHIPPERFIELD, M., DEUSHI, M., FOMICHEV, V.I., GIORGETTA, M.A., GRAY, L., KODERA, K., LOTT, F., MANZINI, E., MARSH, D., MATTHES, K., NAGASHIMA, T., SHIBATA, K., STOLARSKI, R.S., STRUTHERS, H. and TIAN, W., 2008, Coupled chemistry climate model simulations of the solar cycle in ozone and temperature. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **113**, D11306, doi:10.1029/2007JD009391.
- BERNTSEN, T. and ISAKSEN, I.S.A., 1997, A global 3-D chemical transport model for the troposphere. 1. Model description and CO and ozone results. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **102**, pp. 21239–21280.
- BHARTIA, P.K., WELLEMEYER, C.G., TAYLOR, S.L., NATH, N. and A. GOPOLAN, 2004, Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet (SBUV) version 8 profile algorithm. In Ozone Vol. I, Proceedings of the XX Quadrennial Ozone Symposium, C.S. Zerefos (Ed.), pp. 295–296 (Athens, Greece: International Ozone Commission).
- BIAN, H.S. and PRATHER, M.J., 2002, Fast-J2: accurate simulation of stratospheric photolysis in global chemical models. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 41, pp. 281–296.
- BREGMAN, B., MEIJER, E. and SCHEELE, R., 2006, Key aspects of stratospheric tracer modeling using assimilated winds. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 6, pp. 4529–4543.
- BRUNNER, D., STAEHELIN, J., ROGERS, H.L., KÖHLER, M.O., PYLE, J.A., HAUGLUSTAINE, D.A., JOURDAIN, L., BERNTSEN, T.K., GAUSS, M., ISAKSEN, I.S.A., MEIJER, E., VAN VELTHOVEN, P., PITARI, G., MANCINI, E., GREWE, V. and SAUSEN, R., 2003, An evaluation of the performance of chemistry transport models by comparison with research aircraft observations. Part 1: Concepts and overall model performance. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, **3**, pp. 1609–1631.

- BRUNNER, D., STAEHELIN, J., ROGERS, H.L., KÖHLER, M.O., PYLE, J.A. HAUGLUSTAINE, D.A., JOURDAIN, L., BERNTSEN, T.K., GAUSS, M., ISAKSEN, I.S.A., MEIJER, E., VAN VELTHOVEN, P., PITARI, G., MANCINI, E., GREWE, V. and SAUSEN, R., 2005, An evaluation of the performance of chemistry transport models. Part 2: Detailed comparison with two selected campaigns. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 5, pp. 107–129.
- CARSLAW, K., Luo, B. and PETER, T., 1995, An analytic expression for the composition of aqueous HNO₃ + H₂SO₄ stratospheric aerosols including gas phase removal of HNO₃. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 22, pp. 1877–1880.
- CHANDRA, S. and VAROTSOS, C.A., 1995, Recent trends of the total column ozone: implications for the Mediterranean region. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 16, pp. 1765–1769.
- CHIPPERFIELD, M.P., FIOLETOV, V.E. (LEAD AUTHORS), BREGMAN, B., BURROWS, J., CONNOR, B.J., HAIGH, J.D., NARRIS, N.R.P., HAUCHECORNE, A., HOOD, L.L., KAWA, S.R., KRZYSCIN, J.W., LOGAN, J.A., MUTHAMA, N.J., POLVANI, L., RANDEL, W.J., SASAKI, T., STAEHELIN, J., STOLARSKI, R.S., THOMASON, L.W. and ZAWODNY, J.M., 2007, Global ozone: past and present. In *Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2006*, Ch. 3 (Geneva, Switzerland: World Meteorological Organization).
- EYRING, V., BUTCHART, N., WAUGH, D.W., AKIYOSHI, H., AUSTIN, J., BEKKI, S., BODEKER, G.E., BOVILLE, B.A., BRÜHL, C., CHIPPERFIELD, M.P., CORDERO, E., DAMERIS, M., DEUSHI, M., FIOLETOV, V.E., FRITH, S.M., GARCIA, R.R., GETTELMAN, A., GIORGETTA, M.A., GREWE, V., JOURDAIN, L., KINNISON, D.E., MANCINI, E., MANZINI, E., MARCHAND, M., MARSH, D.R., NAGASHIMA, T., NEWMAN, P.A., NIELSEN, J.E., PAWSON, S., PITARI, G., PLUMMER, D.A., ROZANOV, E., SCHRANER, M., SHEPHERD, T.G., SHIBATA, K., STOLARSKI, R.S., STRUTHERS, H., TIAN, W. and YOSHIKI, M., 2006, Assessment of temperature, trace species, and ozone in chemistry climate model simulations of the recent past. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 111, D22308, doi:10.1029/2006JD007327.
- FIOLETOV, V.E., BODEKER, G.E., MILLER, A.J., MCPETERS, R.D. and STOLARSKI, R., 2002, Global and zonal total ozone variations estimated from ground-based and satellite measurements: 1964–2000. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **107**, 4647, doi:10.1029/ 2001JD001350.
- FIOLETOV, V.E., TARASICK, D.W. and PETROPAVLOVSKIKH, I., 2006, Estimating ozone variability and instrument uncertainties from SBUV(/2), ozonesonde, Umkehr, and SAGE II measurements: short-term variations. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **111**, D02305, doi:10.1029/2005JD006340.
- GAUSS, M., ISAKSEN, I.S.A., LEE, D.S. and SØVDE, O.A., 2006, Impact of aircraft NOx emissions on the atmosphere: tradeoffs to reduce the impact. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 6, pp. 1529–1548.
- GAUSS, M., ISAKSEN, I.S.A., WONG, S. and WANG, W.C., 2003, Impact of H₂O emissions from cryoplanes and kerosene aircraft on the atmosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108, 4304, doi:10.1029/2002JD002623.
- GERNANDT, H., GOERSDORF, U., CLAUDE, H. and VAROTSOS, C.A., 1995, Possible impact of polar stratospheric processes on mid-latitude vertical ozone distributions. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 16, pp. 1839–1850.
- GRINI, A., MYHRE, G., SUNDET, J.K. and ISAKSEN, I.S.A., 2002, Modeling the annual cycle of sea salt in the global 3-D model Oslo CTM-2. *Journal of Climate*, 15, pp. 1717–1730.
- ISAKSEN, I.S.A., 2003, Aircraft emissions contributions of various climate compounds to changes in composition and radiating forcing: trade-off to reduce atmospheric impact. Contract EVK2-CT-1999-0030, Final Report, European Union, Brussels.
- ISAKSEN, I.S.A., ROGNERUD, B., STORDAL, F., COFFEY, M.T. and MANKIN, W.G., 1990, Studies of Arctic stratospheric ozone in a 2-D model including some effects of zonal asymmetries. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 17, pp. 557–560.
- ISAKSEN, I.S.A., ZEREFOS, C., KOURTIDIS, K., MELETI, C., DALSØREN, S.B., SUNDET, J.K., GRINI, A., ZANIS, P. and BALIS, D., 2005, Tropospheric ozone changes at unpolluted and

semipolluted regions induced by stratospheric ozone changes. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **110**, D02302, doi:10.1029/2004JD004618.

- KONDRATYEV, K.Y. and VAROTSOS, C.A., 1996, Global total ozone dynamics: impact on surface solar ultraviolet radiation variability and ecosystems. 1. Global ozone dynamics and environmental safety. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 3, pp. 153–157.
- KRAMER, H.J. and CRACKNELL, A.P., 2008, An overview of small satellites in remote sensing. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 29, pp. 4285–4337.
- NAZARYAN, H. and MCCORMICK, M.P., 2005, Comparisons of Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment (SAGE II) and Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet Instrument (SBUV/2) ozone profiles and trend estimates. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **110**, D17302, doi:10.1029/2004JD005483.
- PETROPAVLOVSKIKH, I., AHN, C., BHARTIA, P.K. and FLYNN, L.E., 2005, Comparison and covalidation of ozone anomalies and variability observed in SBUV(/2) and Umkehr northern midlatitude ozone profile estimates. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32, L06805, doi:10.1029/2004GL022002.
- PRATHER, M.J., 1986, Numerical advection by conservation of second-order moments. *Journal* of Geophysical Research, **91**, pp. 6671–6681.
- RUMMUKAINEN, M., ISAKSEN, I.S.A., ROGNERUD, B. and STORDAL, F., 1999, A global model tool for three-dimensional multiyear stratospheric chemistry simulations: model description and first results. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **104**, pp. 26437–26456.
- SCHEELE, M.P., SIEGMUND, P.C. and VELTHOVEN, P.F.J., 2005, Stratospheric age of air computed with trajectories based on various 3D-Var and 4D-Var data sets. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 5, pp. 1–7.
- SØVDE, O.A., GAUSS, M., SMYSHLYAEV, S.P. and ISAKSEN, I.S.A., 2008, Evaluation of the chemical transport model Oslo CTM2 with focus on arctic winter ozone depletion. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **113**, D09304, doi:10.1029/2007JD009240.
- STEINBRECHT, W., HABLER, B., BRÜHL, C., DAMERIS, M., GIORGETTA, M.A., GREWE, V., MANZINI, E., MATTHES, S., SCHNADT, C., STEIL, B. and WINKLER, P., 2006, Interannual variation patterns of total ozone and lower stratospheric temperature in observations and model simulations. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 6, pp. 349–374.
- STOHL, A., COOPER, O.R. and JAMES, P., 2004, A cautionary note on the use of meteorological analysis in the northern hemisphere stratosphere at mid-latitudes during the winter of 2000. *Tellus A*, **54**, pp. 382–380.
- STOLARSKI, R.S., DOUGLASS, A.R., STEENROD, S. and PAWSON, S., 2006, Trends in stratospheric ozone: lessons learned from a 3D chemical transport model. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 63, pp. 1028–1041.
- STORDAL, F., ISAKSEN, I.S.A. and HORNTVEDT, K., 1985, A diabatic circulation two-dimensional model with photochemistry: simulations of ozone and long-lived tracers with surface sources. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **90**, pp. 5757–5776.
- SUNDET, J.K, 1997, Model studies with a 3-D global CTM using ECMWF data. PhD thesis, Section of Meteorology and Oceanography, Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Norway.
- SVENDBY, T.M. and DAHLBACK, A., 2004, Statistical analysis of total ozone measurements in Oslo, Norway, 1978–1998. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **109**, D16107, doi:10.1029/ 2004JD004679.
- TERAO, Y. and LOGAN, J.A., 2007, Consistency of time series and trends of stratospheric ozone as seen by ozonesonde, SAGE II, HALOE, and SBUV(/2). Journal of Geophysical Research, 112, D06310, doi:10.1029/2006JD007667.
- VAROTSOS, C., 2002, The southern hemisphere ozone hole split in 2002. *Environmental Science* and Pollution Research, **9**, pp. 375–376.
- VAROTSOS, C.A. and CRACKNELL, A.P., 1994, 3 years of total ozone measurements over Athens obtained using the remote-sensing technique of a Dobson spectrophotometer. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 15, pp. 1519–1524.

- VAROTSOS, C., KONDRATYEV, K.Y. and KATSIKIS, S., 1995, On the relationship between total ozone and solar ultraviolet radiation at St. Petersburg, Russia. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 22, pp. 3481–3484.
- WILD, O., SUNDET, J.K., PRATHER, M.J., ISAKSEN, I.S.A., AKIMOTO, H., BROWELL, E.V. and OLTMANS, S.J., 2003, Chemical transport model ozone simulations for spring 2001 over the western Pacific: comparisons with TRACE-P lidar, ozonesondes, and Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer columns. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108, 8826, doi:10.1029/2002JD003283.
- WILD, O., ZHU, X. and PRATHER, M.J., 2000, Fast-J: accurate simulation of in- and below-cloud photolysis in tropospheric chemical models. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 37, pp. 245–282.
- ZEREFOS, C.S., 1997, Trends in stratospheric and tropospheric ozone. Journal of Geophysical Research, 102, pp. 1571–1590.
- ZEREFOS, C.S., TOURPALI, K. and BAIS, A.F., 1994, Further studies on possible volcanic signal to the ozone layer. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **99**, pp. 25741–25746.