High-energy neutrinos from relativistic magnetic reconnection in black-hole coronae

## Maria Petropoulou, Department of Physics (NKUA)

27 November 2023 - APC Colloquium, Paris

In collaboration with: Damiano Fiorillo (Niels Bohr Institute), Luca Comisso (Columbia U.), Enrico Peretti (APC Paris), Lorenzo Sironi (Columbia U.)





# Introduction



# The neutrino (v) flux spectrum

Kheirandish, A. 2020, A&SS



- Detection of HE v (E > 10 TeV) → evidence for presence of hadronic accelerators
- Sources of HE v are still not known → hints for AGN/blazars

Katz & Spiering 2012, PPNP

# A zoo of astrophysical v sources









How are high-energy neutrinos produced?

Photopion production process and/or p-p collisions



Photohadronic (pγ) interactions

 $\tau_{p\gamma} \sim \sigma_{p\gamma} \cdot n_{\gamma, target} \cdot R$ 

Photon number density

Typical source size

#### Abundant radiation fields

![](_page_5_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_6.jpeg)

**Active Galaxies** 

Gamma-Ray Bursts

p-p inelastic collisions

$$\tau_{pp} \sim \sigma_{pp} \cdot n_{gas} \cdot R$$

Cold proton number density

Typical source size

#### Abundant gas

![](_page_5_Picture_14.jpeg)

#### Star-forming galaxies

#### Galaxy groups/clusters

Production spectra of secondaries

Photohadronic (pγ) interactions

p-p inelastic collisions

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

# How are protons accelerated ?

#### Magnetic reconnection

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

French et al., 2022

- Reconnection dissipates magnetic energy > heat, bulk kinetic energy, non-thermal particle energy
- Particles can gain energy via: E-field at X-points, curvature-drift, compression of plasmoids ...
- Reconnection can efficiently accelerate particles (ions & electrons) to power-law distributions

# Relativistic magnetic reconnection

# Relativistic magnetic reconnection

Plasma magnetization

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Accreting BHs

![](_page_9_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Credit:: Kyle Parfrey

# Particle-in-Cell (PIC) method (1)

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

Interpolate E,B from the grid to the particle positions in the cell

Move particles under

Lorentz force

![](_page_10_Picture_6.jpeg)

Deposit current (due to particle motion in cells) onto the grid

![](_page_10_Picture_8.jpeg)

Solve for E, B fields on the grid points

![](_page_10_Picture_10.jpeg)

# Particle-in-Cell (PIC) method (2)

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

Plasma frequency

$$\omega_p = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi ne^2}{m}}$$

- Fully self-consistent method → ideal for studying nonlinear phenomena (e.g. tearing instability)
- Tiny length scales (c/ω<sub>p</sub>) and timescales (ω<sub>p</sub><sup>-1</sup>) need to be resolved → expensive simulations requiring super- computing, usually limited in spatial and temporal domains
- Findings from PIC have to be extrapolated to the astrophysical scales

# Results from 2D PIC simulations – Global properties

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Tearing instability of initial current sheet -> plasmoid chain and secondary current sheets

- Dissipation efficiency up to 50% (e<sup>-</sup>e<sup>+</sup>) or 25% (ep) without guide fields
- Rough energy equipartition between magnetic fields and non-thermal particles

![](_page_12_Figure_5.jpeg)

13

Sironi, Petropoulou, Giannios 2015, MNRAS

# Results from 2D PIC simulations – particle spectra

e-e+ plasma

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

Sironi & Spitkovsky 2014; Guo et al. 2016, Werner et al. 2016

ep plasma

![](_page_13_Figure_5.jpeg)

Power-law slope depends on  $\sigma$  $\Rightarrow$  harder spectra for  $\sigma >> 1$ 

# Results from 2D PIC simulations – acceleration

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

Petropoulou & Sironi, 2018 MNRAS; Hakobyan et al. 2021, ApJ

- 1st-stage rapid acceleration at magnetic null points (X-points) up to <sup>~</sup>3σ
- 2nd-stage slow acceleration beyond 3σ trapped in plasmoids Click here

#### log(dN/dγ)

![](_page_14_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Energy $[m_e c^2]$ $10^{-2}$ $10^{-3}$ $10^{-1}$ $10^{0}$ BeppoSAX $\propto E^{0.5}$ $10^{1}$ + CGRO/OSSE E f<sub>E</sub> [keV cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>] $10^{0}$ $\sigma = 10$ $\sigma = 40$ $10^{-}$ $10^{3}$ $10^{0}$ $10^{2}$ $10^{1}$ Energy [keV] Hard X-ray flux ~ magnetic energy density

Cyg X-1 in the hard state

# Recent results from 3D PIC simulations

#### e-e+ plasma

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

- $\sigma=10$ , guide field = 0.1 Bo
- Typical length scale: L= 1560  $c/\omega_p$
- Outflow boundary conditions in x
- Periodic boundary conditions in z
- 2 plasma injectors along y

#### Zhang, Sironi, Giannios, 2021, ApJ

# Results from 3D PIC simulations - time average spectra

Zhang, Sironi, Giannios, 2021, ApJ

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Results from 3D PIC simulations – acceleration

#### Zhang, Sironi, Giannios, 2021, ApJ

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fast acceleration beyond  $3\sigma$  of particles moving in the upstream along z

$$\dot{\gamma} = \frac{\Delta \gamma}{\Delta t} \approx \frac{e E_{\rm rec}}{mc} \beta_z \approx \beta_z \eta_{\rm rec} \sqrt{\sigma} \omega_{\rm p}$$

# The particle spectrum in 3D reconnection

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

- "Injection phase": acceleration at X-points up to ~3σ, with p(σ) and p → 1-1.3 for σ >> 1
- Acceleration beyond 3σ, with s being independent of σ:
  - s ~2 (zero guide field)
  - s > 2 (non zero guide field)

#### See also: Werner & Uzdensky 2017, Chernoglazov et al. 2023

# NGC 1068 as a neutrino source

## A "hot spot" in the IceCube neutrino sky map

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Bauer et al. 2015

#### IceCube Collaboration 2023, Science

### X-rays GeV γ-rays TeV γ-rays

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

Ajello, Murase, McDaniel, 2023, ApJ

# NGC 1068: a complex environment

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

Many potential sites for proton acceleration and neutrino production

# NGC 1068: many theoretical models

Inoue, Cerruti et al. (arXiv:2207.02097) "Multi-zone" models log ε [eV]  $\log \epsilon [eV]$  $\log (z/r_s)$ 12 10 12 14 8 45 inner — outer BeH cascade 44  $v_{\mu}$ BeH 1st gen EIC successful ----- pp π0 γ outer region 43 wind ---- pp π± pair syn ∽ -10È failed wind 42 gg p+pto 000 vfv [erg cm <sup>3</sup>T3 41 Mus Y <Tev 40 [erg  $\gamma_{>TeV} + \gamma_{IR}$ 0 →e± 39 00 မ်ာ-14 disk+corona MAR Y CGeV 38 log (r/rs) obs  $p+\gamma_X \rightarrow V_{TeV}$ -15 torus 37  $p + \gamma_{UV-X} \rightarrow BeH case$ -16E →Y<GeV 36 -17 inner region 20 22 282 24 26 28 30 pc scales 8 10 12 14 18 24 26 16  $\log v [Hz]$ log v [Hz]

# NGC 1068: many theoretical models

"Coronal" models

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

Ajello, Murase, McDaniel, 2023, ApJ (see also Murase et al. 2020, Murase 2022)

# A constrained, reconnection-based model for NGC 1068

Fiorillo et al. 2023 (arXiv:2310.18254)

# Our model

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

Image from Ripperda et al. 2022, ApJL

# Our model

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Our model

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Our model Magnetic energy density log(E<sub>p</sub><sup>2</sup>dN/dE<sub>p</sub>) Relativistic proton Comptonized energy density X-ray flux J-3 Thomson opacity ~ 0.5 Proton Pair density spectrum E<sub>p, br</sub>~ 25 TeV log(E<sub>p</sub>) Neutrino

spectrum

31

# Hierarchy of proton energy scales

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

- E<sub>p,br</sub>: break of proton spectrum, inferred from peak of v spectrum.
- E<sub>p,cool</sub>: pγ cooling time = escape time from the reconnection layer.
- **E**<sup>\*</sup> : change of photo-hadronic efficiency (dependent on the lower cutoff of the X-ray spectrum).
- E<sub>p,rad</sub>: pγ cooling time = acceleration time.

 $E_{p,\mathrm{br}} \leq E_{p,\mathrm{cool}} < E_p^* < E_{p,\mathrm{rad}}$ 

# A constrained problem

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Constraints:**

- E<sub>p,br</sub> ~25 TeV (from peak neutrino energy)
- E<sub>p,br</sub> <sup>~</sup> E<sub>p,cool</sub> → almost calorimetric limit
- L<sub>v</sub> ~ (0.8-4)\*1E+42 erg/s (all flavor)
- L<sub>x</sub> ~ (1-6)\*1E+43 erg/s (2-10keV)

# Results

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Compact corona: L<sup>~</sup> (3-10)\*Rg
- Highly magnetized corona:  $\sigma_{e}^{\sim}$  1E+2 and  $\sigma_{p}^{\sim}$ 1E+5
- Pairs from the proton-initiated cascade may account for most of the leptons required by Thomson opacity

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)

Click here

# Conclusions & Outlook

- Sources of diffuse astrophysical neutrino flux are still unknown, but there are strong hints that AGN cores and jets are neutrino emitters.
- Relativistic magnetic reconnection is a fast acceleration process, leading to (broken) power-law particle distributions.
- Magnetic dissipation in a compact, strongly magnetized corona could power hard X-ray and TeV emission from NGC 1068.

- What does our model predict for other Seyfert galaxies?
- What is the role of pairs from pγ interactions in shaping the Comptonized X-ray spectrum?
- 3D simulations of magnetic reconnection in high proton-sigma plasmas are needed for an accurate characterization of the post-break spectrum.

# Backup slides

Photohadronic (pγ) interactions

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### P-P inelastic collisions

$$p + p_{gas} \rightarrow \begin{cases} p + \pi^0 \\ n + \pi^+ \end{cases}$$

![](_page_36_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Particle-in-Cell (PIC) method

Particle pusher  $\frac{\mathbf{x}^{i+1} - \mathbf{x}^i}{\Delta t} = \mathbf{v}^{i+1/2},$  $\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{v},$  $rac{\gamma^{i+1/2}\mathbf{v}^{i+1/2}-\gamma^{i-1/2}\mathbf{v}^{i-1/2}}{\Delta t}=rac{q}{m}ig(\mathbf{E}^i+\mathbf{ar{v}}^i imes\mathbf{B}^iig).$  $\frac{d\left(\gamma\mathbf{v}\right)}{dt} = \frac{q}{m} (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}),$ Staggered "Yee" mesh Leapfrog time integration Δt Field solver time E,J n-1 n-1/2 n n+1/2 n+1 n+3/2 n+2 n+5/2 E J,B E J,B E J,B E J,B X V X V X V X V  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}$  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{J}$ EwJy  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} =$ ρ →  $D_t G|_{i,j,k}^n = \left(G|_{i,j,k}^{n+1/2} - G|_{i,j,k}^{n-1/2}\right) / \Delta t$  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} =$ 0  $\sum D_x G|_{i,j,k}^n = \left( G|_{i+1/2,j,k}^n - G|_{i-1/2,j,k}^n \right) / \Delta x$ 

# Plasmoid internal structure and particle distribution Click here

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Impact of IC cooling on plasmoid chain

Click here

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

(i) Strong IC cooling

(ii) Moderate IC cooling

40

# Particle trajectories in 2D vs 3D simulations

#### Zhang, Sironi, Giannios, 2021, ApJ

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Proton and neutrino spectra from NGC 1068

Click here

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

# How are protons accelerated ?

#### Shock acceleration

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

Möbius & Kallenbach, 2005, ISSI Scientific Reports Series

- Shocks dissipate bulk kinetic energy → internal energy, non-thermal particle energy
- Fermi acceleration → particles gain energy via multiple scatterings across a velocity gradient (there is also shock-drifting, ...)
- Shocks can efficiently accelerate particles with power law distributions, unless we consider
  - High magnetization σ plasma
  - Superluminal shocks

# **Relativistic shocks**

 $\gamma_0=15$  Low- $\sigma$  shocks:

 $\sigma = 0$ 

- returning particles
- filamentation instabilities

$$\sigma = 0.1$$
$$\gamma_0 = 15$$

High-o shocks:

- no returning particles
- no turbulence

![](_page_43_Figure_8.jpeg)